

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** A303 Stonehenge  
**Date:** 25 September 2022 18:14:56

---

Dear Planning Inspectorate

I have read the Advisory Mission Report and agree that the scheme would have an adverse impact on the World Heritage Site.

The Advisory Mission Report stated that as a minimum the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary. Instead of following advice to protect the site, National Highways continues to reiterate many of the arguments it has already raised. It is noteworthy that the High Court judgment quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives, and yet National Highways current response fails to remove experts concerns. The following have now made clear that National Highways plan is unacceptable to protecting the site:

- Government's independent specialist examiners;
- UNESCO's World Heritage Committee;
- Former Transport Secretary himself who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.

I therefore want to register my objection to the proposals - again. This monument is of national and international importance. It is relevant to everyone's heritage . It therefore seems incomprehensible that it's protection is given such low priority by government that it is seen appropriate to dismiss advice from a number of expert sources. It is beyond belief that UNESCO have stated that part of the current plan will "irreversibly damage" the site and yet there is a desire to proceed.

If the current Transport Secretary intends to ignore all objective expert advice and the deep concerns of many voters, and continue with this scheme, I would hope that as a minimum, it will be subject to a further formal public examination in order that all information submitted since 2020 is fully and openly discussed and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate.

Yours faithfully

Angela Churchill

[REDACTED]